

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo

HCO BULLETIN OF 20 JUNE 1971

C/S Series 47

THE SUPREME TEST OF A C/S

(Reference HCO B August 19, 1967, The
Supreme Test which must be read with this
HCO B.)

A C/S or auditor who knows his tech is able to hold the
line on any given action in auditing or C/Sing and not mix up.

One C/Ses Dianetics purely. Not Dn Cl VI Class VIII,
Dn, Class VI.

One C/Ses or audits a Rundown as itself, not as a botch
of several actions run into it.

So this brings to view that some can run the process or
program for A to B.

And some, worse luck,

(a) Go from A to G to Q to A and wonder why they don't
arrive at the B of result.

(b) Some go from A to B all right but when at B go right
on past it.

Both, actually, are a type of non-confront. The A.G.Q.A
can't confront and disperses off arriving at B. The A beyond
B hasn't confronted B and so doesn't recognize B.

The ability to confront the pc and the session and parts
of the session permit one to accurately go from A to B.

Proving this, perception reduces in ratio to overts.
Accept that fact as its true. If you run O/W on an auditor
regarding the pc he is to audit, the auditor will give a
perfect session to that pc. Why? He can confront because
he can see.

Programming is simply an A to B action. The road is
all laid out.

Auditing a process is a simple A to B Action.

What if you had an auditor who half way through Level Zero
with no completion found a picture, did Dianetics on it,
didn't flatten the R3R because pc cogged it was like his mother
and the auditor did O/W on mother in the middle of the engram!

The pc would be a mess! B was run away from.

Same way with programming that isn't handled.

What if you had an auditor who got an F/N Cog VGIs and continued the same process to TA 5.6? He got to B and kept right on going.

Same way with programs.

So really the Supreme Test of an auditor or C/S is to begin at the A and arrive at the B in any process or program.

You should look into some folders where the C/S or auditor dispersed off B or where B was reached with no halt.

The most recent examples I've seen have been taking processes out of one Rundown and using them in another Rundown all in an effort to achieve a maximum effect when the error that was present came from failure to complete 2 earlier programs.

The correct action would have been to complete the earliest program left incomplete and then complete the next incomplete program, not scramble parts of two new programs.

A to B is a cycle of action. A clean one.

It is best to keep it so.

The Supreme Test of an Auditor or a C/S is to make Auditing go right - by the book.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER

LRH:nt
Copyright © 1971
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED